

Existence of Dirac resonances in the semi-classical limit

J. Kungsman

Department of Mathematics
Uppsala University
SE-751 06 Uppsala, Sweden

Michael Melgaard

Department of Mathematics
University of Sussex
Brighton BN1 9QH, Great Britain

January 14, 2014

Abstract

We study the existence of quantum resonances of the three-dimensional semiclassical Dirac operator perturbed by smooth, bounded and real-valued scalar potentials V decaying like $\langle x \rangle^{-\delta}$ at infinity for some $\delta > 0$. By studying analytic singularities of a certain distribution related to V and by combining two trace formulas, we prove that the perturbed Dirac operators possess resonances near $\sup V + 1$ and $\inf V - 1$. We also provide a lower bound for the number of resonances near these points expressed in terms of the semiclassical parameter.

1 Introduction

Several approaches have been pursued in the theory of resonances for (non-relativistic) Schrödinger operators, in particular analytic dilation [AC'71, BC'71], analytic distortion [Hu'86] and, in the semiclassical approximation, the one developed by Helffer and Sjöstrand [HS'86]. When one can simultaneously apply them to an operator, it turns out that the different definitions give the same resonances, as demonstrated by Martinez and Helffer [HM'87]. We refer to Harrell [Ha'07] and Hislop [Hi'12] for recent surveys.

Of particular interest for this paper we mention that, for semiclassical Schrödinger operators $-\hbar^2\Delta + V(x)$ it was shown by Sjöstrand [Sj'97a], using his local trace formula [Sj'97b], that analytic singularities of certain distributions defined in terms of V produce many resonances near any point of the analytic singular support of the afore-mentioned distributions. These results are also discussed in [Sj'01a, Sj'01b]. Later, Nedelec [Ne'01] carried over these results to Schrödinger operators with matrix-valued potentials by establishing a local trace formula similar to Sjöstrand's.

Resonances for three-dimensional Dirac operators were first studied rigorously by Weder [We'73] and Seba [Se'88] who, in the spirit of Aguilar-Balslev-Combes-Simon theory, applied the method of complex dilation to the Dirac operator. Utilizing the above-mentioned approach by Helffer and Sjöstrand, Parisse [Pa'91, Pa'92] studied resonances in the semiclassical limit, proving the existence of shape resonances, located exponentially near the real axis, and establishing the asymptotic behavior of the imaginary part of the first resonance in the case when the potential well is localized and non-degenerate. Amour, Brummelhuis and Nourrigat [ABN'01] proved the existence of resonances in the non-relativistic limit and for potentials that behave like a positive power of $|x|$ at infinity. Khochman [Kh'07] studied Dirac operators with smooth matrix-valued potentials having an analytic extension in a sector of \mathbb{C}^3 around \mathbb{R}^3 outside a compact set and power decay in this sector. Within the semiclassical regime and using the complex distortion approach to resonances, he gave an upper bound for the number of resonances in complex domains of a certain type and he also established a representation formula for the derivative of the spectral shift function for Dirac operators, with potentials of more than cubic decay, in terms of their resonances. In particular, he proved a local trace formula for the perturbed Dirac operator, analogous to Sjöstrand's formula valid within the nonrelativistic setting.

We are, however, not aware of any work that deal with the existence of Dirac resonances in the semiclassical limit for more general decaying potentials in the spirit of Sjöstrand [Sj'97a] and Nedelec [Ne'01]. In the present paper we show that a Dirac operator perturbed by a non-zero electric (scalar) potential $V = vI_4$ with $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ decaying as $C\langle x \rangle^{-\delta}$ for some constant C and $\delta > 0$ possesses resonances near $\sup v(x) + 1$ and $\inf v(x) - 1$ and, furthermore, we establish a lower bound on the number of resonances.

The main outline of the proof is similar to those in [Sj'97a] and [Ne'01] which are based on combining the local trace formula for resonances in the spirit of Sjöstrand (see [Sj'97a]) and a trace formula of Robert (see [Ro'94]). These trace formulas have been adapted to the Dirac operator in [Kh'07] and [BR'99], respectively. For a pair of Dirac operators $\mathbb{D}_0 + v_j(x)I_4 = \mathbb{D}_j = d_j(x, \hbar D)$, $j = 1, 2$, it turns out that points in the analytic singular support

of a distribution ω (in symbols, $\text{sing supp}_a(\omega)$) given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \omega, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \left(\phi(\lambda_{+,2}(x, \xi)) - \phi(\lambda_{+,1}(x, \xi)) + \phi(\lambda_{-,2}(x, \xi)) - \phi(\lambda_{-,1}(x, \xi)) \right) dx d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where the $\lambda_{\pm,j}$ are the eigenvalues of the symbols \mathbf{d}_j , generate resonances in their vicinity (see Section 4). To better understand what points belong to $\text{sing supp}_a(\omega)$ we prefer to describe ω directly in terms of the potentials v_j and in Section 4 it is shown that there is a function ϕ such that $\omega = \pm \phi * \mu$ where

$$\mu(E) = \frac{d}{dE} \left(\int_{v_1(x) \geq E} dx - \int_{v_2(x) \geq E} dx \right)$$

for $E > 0$. The difficulty lies in relating points of $\text{sing supp}_a(\mu)$ to those of $\text{sing supp}_a(\omega)$ and it turns out that by the properties of ϕ it is convenient to decompose ω into two parts and exploit the theory of analytic pseudodifferential operators.

2 Notation and assumptions

The free, or unperturbed, semiclassical Dirac operator is the self-adjoint Friedrichs extension of the symmetric operator

$$\mathbb{D}_0 = -i\hbar \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j \partial_j + \beta, \quad \partial_j := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j},$$

on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$. Here the α_j are symmetric 4×4 matrices satisfying the usual anti-commutation relations

$$\alpha_j \alpha_k + \alpha_k \alpha_j = 2\delta_{jk} I_4, \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

(with $\alpha_4 = \beta$) where I_4 designates the identity matrix. The extension, which we also denote by \mathbb{D}_0 , acts on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ and it has domain $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$. It is well-known (see, e.g., [Th'92]) that the spectrum of \mathbb{D}_0 is purely absolutely continuous and equals

$$\text{spec}(\mathbb{D}_0) = \text{spec}_{\text{ac}}(\mathbb{D}_0) = (-\infty, -1] \cup [1, \infty),$$

We consider a pair $\mathbb{D}_j = \mathbb{D}_0 + V_j$, $j = 1, 2$, of perturbations of \mathbb{D}_0 by scalar potentials $V_j(x) = v_j(x)I_4$, where $v_j : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ): $v_j \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (space of bounded, infinitely continuously differentiable functions) and it has an analytic extension into a sector

$$C_{\varepsilon, R_0} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^3 : |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \varepsilon |\operatorname{Re} z|, |\operatorname{Re} z| > R_0\}$$

for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $R_0 \geq 0$. For any $z \in C_{\varepsilon, R_0}$ we assume

$$|v_j(z)| = \mathcal{O}(\langle z \rangle^{-\delta}) \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0$$

and

$$|v_2(z) - v_1(z)| = \mathcal{O}(\langle z \rangle^{-\delta}) \quad \text{for some } \delta > 3,$$

where $\langle z \rangle := (1 + |z|^2)^{1/2}$.

By introducing the semiclassical Fourier transform

$$(\mathcal{F}_\hbar u)(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi / \hbar} dx$$

we can express \mathbb{D}_j as \hbar -pseudodifferential operators $\mathbb{D}_j = \mathcal{F}_\hbar^{-1} \mathbf{d}_j \mathcal{F}_\hbar$, where the so-called (principal) symbols $\mathbf{d}_j(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \alpha_k \xi_k + \beta + v_j(x)$ has two-fold degenerated eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{\pm, j}(x, \xi) = v_j(x) \pm \langle \xi \rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

We see that $\lambda_{+, j} \geq \inf v_j + 1$ and $\lambda_{-, j} \leq \sup v_j - 1$ and, as in [BR'99], we define

$$\begin{aligned} l_{+, j} &= \max(1, \sup v_j - 1), \\ l_{-, j} &= \min(-1, \inf v_j + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Classical analytic symbols and analytic wavefront set

We next recall some definitions and properties of analytic wavefront sets (see e.g. [Hö'03, Chapter 8, Section 4] and [Sj'82, Sections 6,7]) and of so-called classical analytic symbols, especially when they are also elliptic (see, for instance, [Tr'80, Chapter 5]). Throughout this section we suppress the \hbar -dependence (i.e. $\hbar = 1$).

We say that $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is of microlocal exponential decay at $(x_0, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ if its Fourier-Bros-Iagolnitzer transform (in short, FBI-transform)

$$(T_\lambda u)(x, \xi) = 2^{-n/2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \right)^{3n/4} \int e^{i\lambda(x-y) \cdot \xi - \lambda(x-y)^2/2} \chi(y) f(y) dy,$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ equals 1 near x_0 , is $\mathcal{O}(e^{-C\lambda})$ near (x_0, ξ_0) for some constant $C > 0$, uniformly as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ (see, e.g., Sjöstrand [Sj'82, Section 6]).

Definition 2.1. The analytic wavefront set of $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, denoted $\text{WF}_a(u)$, is the complement in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ of the set of points, where u is of microlocal exponential decay.

It is well-known that $\text{WF}_a(u)$ is a closed conic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and that the image of the projection onto the first coordinate equals $\text{sing supp}_a(u)$, i.e. the smallest closed set outside of which u is real analytic (see e.g. Sjöstrand [Sj'82, Section 6]).

Next we introduce a certain Gevrey class of symbols, namely the analytic one (see, e.g., Treves [Tr'80, Chapter 5]).

Definition 2.2. A function $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}))$ is said to belong to the space $S_a^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of classical analytic symbols if for any $K \Subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq C^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+1} \alpha! \beta! (1 + |\xi|)^{m-|\beta|}$$

for $x \in K$ and $|\xi| \geq B$, where B and C are positive constants.

If $a \in S_a^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and there are constants $C_0, C_1 > 0$ and a neighborhood U of x_0 such that

$$|a(x, \xi)| \geq C_0 \langle \xi \rangle^m \quad \text{for } x \in U \text{ and } |\xi| \geq C_1$$

we say that a is elliptic at x_0 . We say that a (and the corresponding operator $A = a(x, D)$) is elliptic if a is elliptic at every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 2.3. *If $A = a(x, D)$ where $a \in S_a^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is elliptic then, for any $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have*

$$\text{WF}_a(Au) = \text{WF}_a(u),$$

where $\text{WF}_a(u)$ is the analytic wave front set of u .

We are only going to use this result in the case $n = 1$.

3 Resonances

As in Kungsgård and Melgaard [KM'12] we use the method of complex distortion to define resonances. The method of analytic distortion goes back to Aguilar, Balslev, Combes and Simon [AC'71, BC'71, Si'73]. In this work we follow the approach by Hunziker [Hu'86] as implemented by Khochman [Kh'07]. We also state the two trace formulas that we later combine to prove our main results.

Definition of resonances

Let $R_0 \geq 0$ be as in Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ) and $g : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a smooth function such that $g(x) = 0$ for $|x| \leq R_0$ and $g(x) = x$ outside a compact set containing $B(0, R_0)$ which also satisfies $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \|\nabla g(x)\| \leq \sqrt{2}$. Next introduce $\phi_\theta(x) = x + \theta g(x)$ and let J_θ denote the Jacobian determinant of ϕ_θ . For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ we define a one-parameter family of distortions on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ by

$$(U_\theta f)(x) = J_\theta^{1/2}(x) f(\phi_\theta(x)).$$

When $|\theta| < 2^{-1/2}$ it extends to a unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ (see [Kh'07] for a proof). To define U_θ also for complex values of θ one first introduces the linear space \mathcal{A} of entire functions $f = (f_1, \dots, f_4)$ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{|z| \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in C_{\varepsilon, R_0}}} |z|^k |f_j(z)| = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq 4, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and let the dense subspace \mathcal{B} of so called analytic vectors consist of the functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ that have extensions in \mathcal{A} . With

$$D_\varepsilon := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon^2}} \right\}$$

it then holds that for any $f \in \mathcal{B}$ the map $\theta \mapsto U_\theta f$ is analytic for $\theta \in D_\varepsilon$ and $U_\theta \mathcal{B}$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ for any $\theta \in D_\varepsilon$. One can then show that for $\theta \in D_\varepsilon$ the operator

$$U \mathbb{D}_j U^{-1} = U \mathbb{D}_0 U^{-1} + U V_j U^{-1} = \mathbb{D}_{0, \theta} + V_j \circ \phi_\theta$$

with domain $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ is an analytic family of type A in the sense of Kato (see [Ka'66, Chapter 7, Section 2] for the definition of type-A analyticity). It is shown in [Kh'07] that

$$\text{spec}_{\text{ess}}(\mathbb{D}_\theta) = \text{spec}(\mathbb{D}_{0, \theta}) = \Gamma_\theta = \left\{ z = \pm \left(\frac{\lambda}{(1 + \theta)^2} + 1 \right)^{1/2}, \lambda \in [0, \infty) \right\}.$$

The following version of the Aguilar-Balslev-Combes-Simon theorem for the perturbed Dirac operator was established by Khochman [Kh'07].

Proposition 3.1. *For $\theta_0 \in D_\varepsilon^+ = D_\varepsilon \cap \{\text{Im } z \geq 0\}$ we have*

(i) *For $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$, the function*

$$F_{f, g}(z) = \langle f, (\mathbb{D} - z)^{-1} g \rangle$$

has a meromorphic extension from

$$\Sigma = \{\operatorname{Im} z \geq 0, \operatorname{Re} z > -1\} \cup \{\operatorname{Im} z \leq 0, \operatorname{Re} z < 1\} \setminus \operatorname{spec}(\mathbb{D})$$

across $\operatorname{spec}(\mathbb{D})$ and into

$$S_{\theta_0} = \left\{ \bigcup_{\theta \in D_\varepsilon^+} \Gamma_\theta; \arg(1 + \theta) < \arg(1 + \theta_0), \frac{1}{|1 + \theta|} < \frac{1}{|1 + \theta_0|} \right\}.$$

- (ii) The poles of the continuation of $F_{f,g}$ into S_{θ_0} are the eigenvalues of \mathbb{D}_{θ_0} .
- (iii) These poles are independent of the family U_{θ_0} .
- (iv) The operator \mathbb{D}_{θ_0} has no discrete spectrum in Σ .

Proposition 3.1 justifies the following definition.

Definition 3.2. The resonances of \mathbb{D} in $S_{\theta_0} \cup \mathbb{R}$, denoted $\operatorname{Res}(\mathbb{D})$, are the eigenvalues of \mathbb{D}_{θ_0} . If z_0 is a resonance we take its multiplicity to be the rank of the projection

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_{z_0}} (\mathbb{D}_{\theta_0} - z)^{-1} dz,$$

where γ_{z_0} is a sufficiently small positively oriented circle about z_0 .

3.1 Trace formulas

Herein we recall two trace formulas that will be used in conjunction to give Theorem 4.1. This is analogous to Sjöstrand [Sj'97a] and Nedelec [Ne'01] who studied the case for Schrödinger type operators.

In Khochman [Kh'07] the following local trace formula in the spirit of Sjöstrand [Sj'97b] is proved. See also [BP'03] and [Ne'01] for similar results. To state it we make the following assumption on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$:

Assumption (\mathbf{A}_Ω^\pm) : Ω is an open, simply connected and relatively compact subset of $|\operatorname{Re} z| > 1$ such that $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}_\pm \neq \emptyset$ and there exists $\theta_0 \in D_\varepsilon^+$ such that $\overline{\Omega} \cap \Gamma_{\theta_0} = \emptyset$.

Then one has:

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfies Assumption (\mathbf{A}_Ω^\pm) and suppose, in addition, that $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R} = I$ is an interval. Let f be a holomorphic function on $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (independent of \hbar) be equal to 1 near \overline{I} . Then, for v_j satisfying Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ) , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[(\chi f)(\mathbb{D}_2)] - \text{Tr}[(\chi f)(\mathbb{D}_1)] \\ = \sum_{z_j \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_2) \cap \Omega} f(z_j) - \sum_{z_j \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_1) \cap \Omega} f(z_j) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

In [BR'99, p 21-22] on the other hand we find the following trace formula by Bruneau and Robert:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[(\chi f)(\mathbb{D}_2)] - \text{Tr}[(\chi f)(\mathbb{D}_1)] \\ = C\hbar^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \left(\text{tr}[(\chi f)(\mathbf{d}_2)] - \text{tr}[(\chi f)(\mathbf{d}_1)] \right) dx d\xi + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-2}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where “tr” denotes the matrix trace. Here we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \left(\text{tr}[(\chi f)(\mathbf{d}_2)] - \text{tr}[(\chi f)(\mathbf{d}_1)] \right) dx d\xi \\ = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \left((\chi f)(\lambda_{+,2}(x, \xi)) - (\chi f)(\lambda_{+,1}(x, \xi)) \right. \\ \left. + (\chi f)(\lambda_{-,2}(x, \xi)) - (\chi f)(\lambda_{-,1}(x, \xi)) \right) dx d\xi \\ = 2 \int (\chi f)(E) d\rho(E), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(E) = \int_{\lambda_{+,2}(x, \xi) \leq E} dx d\xi - \int_{\lambda_{+,1}(x, \xi) \leq E} dx d\xi \\ - \left(\int_{\lambda_{-,2}(x, \xi) \geq E} dx d\xi - \int_{\lambda_{-,1}(x, \xi) \geq E} dx d\xi \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

4 Resonances near analytic singularities

In this section we state and prove our main results.

We introduce $\nu_{\pm,j} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$, $j = 1, 2$, given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{+,j}(E) &= - \int_{v_j(x) \geq E} dx, & \text{for } E > 0, \\ \nu_{-,j}(E) &= \int_{v_j(x) \leq E} dx, & \text{for } E < 0,\end{aligned}$$

with supports equal to $[0, \sup v_j]$ and $[\inf v_j, 0]$, respectively, and we note that $\nu_{+,j}$, respectively, $\nu_{-,j}$, is a decreasing function, respectively, increasing function. Define, in the sense of distributions,

$$\mu_{\pm,j} = d\nu_{\pm,j}/dE.$$

Then, since $\nu_{+,j}$ are decreasing, $\mu_{\pm,j}$ are positive measures (of locally finite mass) on $\mathbb{R}_{\pm} = \pm(0, +\infty)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{supp } \mu_{+,j} &\subset \text{supp } \nu_{+,j} = [0, \sup v_j], \\ \text{supp } \mu_{-,j} &\subset \text{supp } \nu_{-,j} = [\inf v_j, 0].\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\text{sing supp}_a(\mu_{\pm,j}) = \text{sing supp}_a(\nu_{\pm,j}).$$

We define the distribution $\mu \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\langle \mu, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi(v_2(x)) - \phi(v_1(x))) dx. \quad (4.1)$$

Clearly $\text{supp } (\mu) \subset [\min_{j=1,2} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} v_j(x), \max_{j=1,2} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} v_j(x)]$ and since

$$|\langle \mu, \phi \rangle| \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\phi'(t)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_2(x) - v_1(x)| \leq C \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\phi'(t)|,$$

where the last step uses (2.1) we see that μ is a distribution of order ≤ 1 (see [Hö'03, Chapter 2, Section 1]). Finally we define $\omega \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\langle \omega, \phi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} (\phi(\lambda_{+,2}(x, \xi)) - \phi(\lambda_{+,1}(x, \xi)) + \phi(\lambda_{-,2}(x, \xi)) - \phi(\lambda_{-,1}(x, \xi))) dx d\xi,$$

for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. From (2.1) it is clear that the integral with respect to ξ can be estimated from above by

$$2 \operatorname{vol} B(0, R) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |v_2(x) - v_1(x)|$$

for some sufficiently large $R > 0$, depending on the support of ϕ . It follows from (2.1) that also ω is a distribution of order ≤ 1 . We remark that for $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we have

$$\langle \mu_{+,j}, \phi \rangle = - \int \phi'(E) \nu_{+,j}(E) dE = \int \phi(v_j(x)) dx,$$

so that

$$\mu|_{\mathbb{R}_+} = \mu_{+,2} - \mu_{+,1}, \quad (4.2)$$

and similarly for $\mu|_{\mathbb{R}_-}$.

4.1 Main results

Here we present and discuss the main results, i.e. how certain analytic singularities of μ defined in (4.1) generate resonances of the Dirac operator. We phrase our main theorem for $E_0 > 0$:

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $\mathbb{D}_j = \mathbb{D}_0 + V_j$ where V_j , $j = 1, 2$, satisfies Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ) . Let $0 < E_0$ be a maximal or a minimal boundary point of $\operatorname{supp}(\mu)$. Then, for any complex neighborhood U of $E_0 + 1$ and $E_0 - 1$, respectively, there exists a constant $C = C(U)$ such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \#(\operatorname{Res}(\mathbb{D}_j) \cap U) \geq C \hbar^{-3},$$

provided \hbar is small enough.

Given v_2 it is possible to construct v_1 so that Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ) holds but \mathbb{D}_1 has no resonances near $E_0 + 1$. We may then invoke the previous result to obtain the following result for a single Dirac operator.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $0 < E_0 = \sup v_2(x)$ where $V_2 = v_2 I_4$ satisfies Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ) . Then, for any complex neighborhood U of $E_0 + 1$ there exists a constant $C = C(U)$ such that*

$$\#(\operatorname{Res}(\mathbb{D}_2) \cap U) \geq C \hbar^{-3},$$

provided \hbar is small enough.

In case $v_2(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the above theorems cannot be applied but unless $v_2 \equiv 0$ we may then consider $E_0 = \min \operatorname{supp}(\mu)$ and $E_0 = \inf v_2(x)$, respectively, and resonances near $E_0 - 1$.

4.2 Proofs of main results

The proof of the existence of resonances relies on the fact that we can find points in $\text{WF}_a(\omega)$. Since the point $(E_0, 1)$ in Theorem 4.1 belongs to $\text{WF}_a(\mu)$ we begin this section by noting that the distributions ω and μ can be related to each other via convolution. Since the convolution kernel is singular one unit off the diagonal this leads us to decompose ω into two terms corresponding to these singularities. Each term has a symbol which can be represented by a (modified) Bessel function and since the latter happens to be analytic, it enables us to apply the theory of analytic pseudodifferential operators mentioned in Section 2. Finally, to prove Theorem 4.2 we follow the arguments of Sjöstrand [Sj'97a] to construct a “small” potential v_1 , given v_2 , so that Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ) is fulfilled.

Lemma 4.3. *The decomposition*

$$\omega = \pm A_+ \tau_1 \mu \pm A_- \tau_{-1} \mu$$

holds true; the sign corresponds to whether $E > \max_{j=1,2}(l_{+,j})$ or $E < \min_{j=1,2}(l_{-,j})$, respectively, and the A_\pm are pseudodifferential operators associated with the symbols $\sqrt{2\pi} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_\pm](\xi)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_+(x) &= \phi_+(x+1) = (x+1)(x+2)^{1/2} x_+^{1/2} \\ \tilde{\phi}_-(x) &= \phi_-(x-1) = (x-1)(2-x)^{1/2} (-x)_+^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and $(\tau_{\pm 1} \mu)(E) = \mu(E \mp 1)$.

Proof. It is easily verified that

$$\omega dE = d\rho, \tag{4.3}$$

where ρ is defined in (3.3). By changing to polar coordinates in the ξ -variable and using $x_+ = \max(x, 0)$ for the positive part of a real number we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(E) &= \pm \frac{8\pi}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(((E - v_2(x))^2 - 1)_+^{3/2} - ((E - v_1(x))^2 - 1)_+^{3/2} \right) dx \\ &= \pm \frac{8\pi}{3} \int ((E - t)^2 - 1)_+^{3/2} d\nu(t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

for $E > \max_{j=1,2}(l_{+,j})$ and $E < \min_{j=1,2}(l_{-,j})$, respectively, where

$$\nu(t) = - \int_{v_2(x) \geq t} dx + \int_{v_1(x) \geq t} dx.$$

Thus, for any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int \phi(t) d\nu(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi(v_2(x)) - \phi(v_1(x))) dx = \int \phi(E) \mu(E) dE,$$

so $d\nu = \mu dE$. Therefore, we can write ρ in (4.4) as the convolution

$$\rho = \pm \frac{8\pi}{3} ((\cdot)^2 - 1)_+^{3/2} * \mu.$$

Consequently, we obtain from (4.3) that

$$\omega = \pm \phi * \mu \tag{4.5}$$

with

$$\phi(x) = 8\pi (x^2 - 1)_+^{1/2} x.$$

Introduce

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_+(x) &= 8\pi x(x+1)^{1/2}(x-1)_+^{1/2}, \\ \phi_-(x) &= 8\pi x(1-x)^{1/2}(-(x+1))_+^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

so that $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$. The convolution (4.5) can then be written as

$$\omega(E) = \pm \int \phi_+(E-t+1) \mu(t-1) dt \pm \int \phi_-(E-t-1) \mu(t+1) dt.$$

We can thus write

$$\omega = \pm \tilde{\phi}_+ * (\tau_{+1}\mu) \pm \tilde{\phi}_- * (\tau_{-1}\mu) = \pm A_+ \tau_1 \mu \pm A_- \tau_{-1} \mu, \tag{4.6}$$

where $(\tau_{\pm 1}\mu)(t) = \mu(t \mp 1)$ and A_{\pm} are pseudodifferential operators having symbols $\sqrt{2\pi} \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}](\xi)$. \square

We now show that the pseudodifferential operators A_{\pm} in the previous lemma are elliptic classical analytic.

Lemma 4.4. *With $\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}$ as in Lemma 4.3 one has that $\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}] \in S_a^{-5/2}$ and they are elliptic.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result for $\tilde{\phi}_+$. For $\xi \neq 0$ we have (see [AS'64, Chapter 9, Section 6])

$$\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+](\xi) = C_0 \frac{e^{i\xi}}{\xi^2} \left(K_1(i\xi) - i\xi K_1'(i\xi) \right), \quad C_0 \neq 0,$$

where K_1 is a modified Bessel function of the second kind. Using the recurrence relation

$$K_1(z) - zK_1'(z) = zK_2(z)$$

(see [AS'64, Chapter 9, Section 6]) we may write

$$\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+](\xi) = -C_0 \frac{e^{i\xi}}{i\xi} K_2(i\xi).$$

Since $z \mapsto K_2(iz)$ is analytic for $\operatorname{Re} z \neq 0$ we can use the Cauchy integral formula in the form

$$\begin{aligned} |D^N \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+](\xi)| &= \frac{N!}{2\pi} \left| \int_{|z-\xi|=(1+|\xi|)/2} \frac{\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+](z)}{(z-\xi)^{N+1}} dz \right| \\ &\leq C 2^{N+1} N! (1+|\xi|)^{-N-1} \sup_{|z-\xi|=(1+|\xi|)/2} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+](z)|, \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

for $|\xi| \geq C_0$. Since $|z-\xi| = (1+|\xi|)/2$ implies that $(|\xi|-1)/2 \leq |z|$ we can use the fact that (see e.g. [AS'64])

$$K_2(iz) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2iz}} e^{-iz} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)\right) \quad (4.8)$$

for $|z|$ large. It follows that

$$\sup_{|z-\xi|=(1+|\xi|)/2} |\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+](\xi)| \leq C \sup_{|z-\xi|=(1+|\xi|)/2} |z|^{-3/2} \leq C(1+|\xi|)^{-3/2}.$$

Together with (4.7) it follows that

$$|D^N \mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+](\xi)| \leq C \cdot 2^{N+1} N! (1+|\xi|)^{-5/2-N} \quad \text{for } |\xi| \geq C_0,$$

which means that $\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+] \in S_a^{-5/2}(\mathbb{R})$.

Finally, it follows from (4.8) that

$$|\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+](\xi)| \geq C(1+|\xi|)^{-5/2}$$

provided $|\xi|$ is large enough which shows that $A_+ = \sqrt{2\pi} \operatorname{Op}[\mathcal{F}[\tilde{\phi}_+]]$ is elliptic. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $E_0 = \max \operatorname{supp}(\mu)$ be a maximal boundary point of $\operatorname{supp}(\mu)$. Then [Hö'03, Corollary 8.4.16] asserts that $(E_0, \pm 1) \in \operatorname{WF}_a(\mu)$. Since τ_{+1} is a unit shift operator we have that $(E_0 + 1, \pm 1) \in \operatorname{WF}_a(\tau_{+1}\mu)$ and Proposition 2.3 implies that, in view of (4.3) and Lemma 4.4, we have

$(E_0 + 1, \pm 1) \in \text{WF}_a(\omega)$. Let us for the sake of notation concentrate on the point $E_0 + 1$. The crux of the proof is finding the relationship between the properties of $\text{sing supp}_a(\omega)$ and the resonances of \mathbb{D}_j . Utilizing the definition of the wavefront set via the FBI transform (see Section 2) there are real sequences $\alpha_j \rightarrow E_0 + 1$, $\lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$, $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta_j \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\int e^{i\lambda_j\beta_j(\alpha_j-E)-\lambda_j(\alpha_j-E)^2/2} \chi(E) \omega(E) dE \geq e^{-\varepsilon_j\lambda_j} \quad (4.9)$$

for $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1})$ equal to 1 near $E_0 + 1$. We define the function $f_j(E) = e^{i\lambda_j\beta_j(\alpha_j-E)-\lambda_j(\alpha_j-E)^2/2}$. Let $a, b > 0$ be two sufficiently small constants such that also a/b is small, and put

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= (E_0 + 1 - 2b, E_0 + 1 + 2b) + i(-2a, a], \\ W &= [E_0 + 1 - b, E_0 + 1 + b] + i(-a, a]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $|f_j(E)| \leq e^{-C_0\lambda_j}$ for $E \in \Omega \setminus W$ for large values of j and appropriate a and b . By Theorem 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} [(\chi f_j)(\mathbb{D}_2) - (\chi f_j)(\mathbb{D}_1)] &= \\ &= \sum_{z_k \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_2) \cap W} f_j(z_k) - \sum_{z_k \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_1) \cap W} f_j(z_k) + e^{-C_0\lambda_j} \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-3}), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in k . By combining the Bruneau-Robert trace formula (3.1), (3.2) and (4.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C\hbar^{-3} \int f_j(E) \chi(E) \omega(E) dE \\ = \sum_{z_k \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_2) \cap W} f_j(z_k) - \sum_{z_k \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_1) \cap W} f_j(z_k) + e^{-C_0\lambda_j} \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-3}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

From this and (4.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C\hbar^{-3} e^{-\varepsilon_j\lambda_j} \leq & \left| \sum_{z_k \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_2) \cap W} f_j(z_k) - \sum_{z_k \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_1) \cap W} f_j(z_k) \right| \\ & + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-3}) e^{-C_0\lambda_j} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Combined with (3.1), (4.3) and (4.9) this gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{z_k \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_2) \cap W} f_j(z_k) - \sum_{z_k \in \text{Res}(\mathbb{D}_1) \cap W} f_j(z_k) \right| \\ & \geq C\hbar^{-3} (e^{-\varepsilon_j\lambda_j} - \mathcal{O}(1)e^{-C_0\lambda_j}) \hbar^{-3} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^{-2}) \geq C\hbar^{-3} \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$, where the last inequality follows by fixing a sufficiently large j and then taking \hbar small enough. Since $|f_j|$ is bounded on W the result follows. \square

Theorem 4.2 now follows from Theorem 4.1 by constructing the potential v_1 so that it produces no resonances. To achieve this we follow the argument outlined in [Sj'97a]. By multiplying v_2 by a smooth cut-off function we arrange so that it equals 0 in some large ball $B(0, R)$ and is small in its complement. Then we follow this with an appropriate regularization so that complex distortion with $R_0 = 0$ can be done.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let

$$K(y) = C_0 e^{-y^2/2} \quad \text{where } C_0 = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-y^2/2} dy \right)^{-1}.$$

Put $K_R(y) = \lambda^{-3} K(\lambda^{-1} y)$ where $\lambda = \lambda_R(x) = \langle R^{-1} x \rangle^{-4}$ where $R \gg 1$ will be specified below. Take $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which equals 1 for $|x| < 1$ and 0 for $|x| > 2$. We define

$$v_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_R(x - y) (1 - \chi(R^{-1} y)) v_2(y) dy.$$

We see that v_1 extends to a holomorphic function in the domain $\{|\operatorname{Im} z| < \varepsilon \langle \operatorname{Re} z \rangle, |\operatorname{Re} z| \geq 0\}$ for $\varepsilon < 1$. Moreover

$$|v_1(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_R(x - y) |v_2(y)| dy = C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-w^2/2} |v_2(x + \lambda w)| dw.$$

Then Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ) together with Peetre's inequality in the form

$$\langle x + \lambda w \rangle^{-\delta} \leq 2^{\delta/2} \langle x \rangle^{-\delta} \langle \lambda w \rangle^\delta \leq 2^{\delta/2} \langle x \rangle^{-\delta} \langle w \rangle^\delta$$

implies that

$$|v_1(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-w^2/2} \langle w \rangle^\delta dw \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-\delta}$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Next we find that

$$\begin{aligned} |v_2(x) - v_1(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_R(x - y) \left(v_2(x) - (1 - \chi(R^{-1} y)) v_2(y) \right) dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_R(x - y) |v_2(x) - v_2(y)| dy + \int_{|y| < 2R} K_R(x - y) \chi(R^{-1} y) |v_2(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term we have, by virtue of Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ) ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_R(x-y)|v_2(x) - v_2(y)| dy \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_R(x-y)|x-y| dy$$

and by making the change of coordinates $y = x + \lambda w$ we readily see that this can be bounded from above by $C\lambda$ for some constant C , independent of x and R . The second term is clearly bounded and for $|x| > 4R$ we have $|x-y| \geq |x|/2$ so that it can be bounded from above by

$$\lambda^{-3} e^{-|x|^2/(8\lambda^2)} \int_{|y| < 2R} |v_2(y)| dy \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-\delta}$$

for any $\delta > 0$. This shows that

$$|v_2(x) - v_1(x)| \leq C \langle x \rangle^{-\delta} \quad \text{for } \delta = 4,$$

and thus Assumption (\mathbf{A}_δ) is fulfilled with $R_0 = 0$.

Finally, we point out that given any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ we can construct v_1 above so that $|v_1(x)| \leq \varepsilon_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Indeed, choose $R > 0$ so large that $|v_2(y)| \leq \varepsilon_0$ for $|y| > R$. Then

$$|v_1(x)| \leq \int_{|y| > R} K_R(x-y)|v_2(y)| dy \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

We next show that the “small” potential v_1 constructed above cannot generate any resonances near $E_0 + 1$.

If $z_0 = E_0 + 1 + \varepsilon_0$ is a resonance close to $E_0 + 1$ we can compute \mathbb{D}_θ explicitly (see [Kh’07]) and find $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ with $\|u\| = 1$ such that

$$-\frac{1}{1+\theta} i\hbar \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j \partial_j u + \beta u + (V_1 \circ \phi_\theta)u = (E_0 + 1 + \varepsilon_0)u$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\|\mathbb{D}_0 u - (E_0 + 1)u\| = \mathcal{O}(|\theta|) + \mathcal{O}(|\varepsilon_0|) + \mathcal{O}(\sup |V_1 \circ \phi_\theta|)$$

Since the norm appearing on the left hand side is independent of the quantities on the right hand side, and since these quantities can be made arbitrarily small, we see that $\mathbb{D}_0 u = (E_0 + 1)u$ which is a contradiction.

To prove the theorem it suffices that we construct v_1 as above such that the bound $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |v_1(x)| < E_0/2$ holds. Then we have $(E_0, 1) \in \text{WF}_a(\nu_{+,2})$ because $E_0 = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} v_2(x)$ is the right end point of $\text{supp}(\nu_{+,2})$. Since d/dE is an elliptic analytic operator it follows that $(E_0, 1) \in \text{WF}_a(\mu_{+,2})$. Consequently, since $\mu_{+,1}(E) = 0$ for $E \geq E_0/2$ we infer from (4.2) that $(E_0, 1) \in \text{WF}_a(\mu)$ and the proof of Theorem 4.1 applies. \square

Acknowledgement: The first author is grateful to Johannes Sjöstrand for an illuminating discussion and the second author thanks Johannes Sjöstrand for introducing him to semiclassical analysis and resonances at University of Gothenburg and Chalmers University of Technology during the spring terms of 2001-2002.

References

- [AC'71] J. Aguilar, J. M. Combes, A class of analytic perturbations for one-body Schrödinger Hamiltonians, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **22** (1971), 269–279.
- [BC'71] E. Balslev, J. M. Combes, Spectral properties of many body Schrödinger operators with dilation analytic interactions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **22** (1971), 280–291.
- [AS'64] M. Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, U.S. National Bureau of Standards (1964); Dover, New York (1965)
- [ABN'01] L. Amour, R. Brummelhuis, J. Nourrigat, Resonances of the Dirac Hamiltonian in the non relativistic limit, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **2** (2001), no. 3, 583–603.
- [BP'03] V. Bruneau, V. Petkov, Meromorphic continuation of the spectral shift function, *Duke Math. J.* **116** (2003), 389–430.
- [BR'99] V. Bruneau, D. Robert, Asymptotics of the scattering phase for the Dirac operator: High energy, semi-classical and non-relativistic limits, *Ark. Mat.* **37** (1999), 1–32.
- [Ha'07] E. M., II, Harrell, Perturbation theory and atomic resonances since Schrödinger's time. *Spectral theory and mathematical physics: a Festschrift in honor of Barry Simon's 60th birthday*, 227248, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 76, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

- [HM'87] B. Helffer and A. Martinez, Comparaison entre les diverses notions de résonances, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **60** (8) (1987), 992–1003.
- [HS'86] B. Helffer, J. Sjöstrand, Résonances en limite semi-classique. *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)* No. 24-25 (1986), iv+228 pp.
- [Hi'12] P. Hislop, Fundamentals of scattering theory and resonances in quantum mechanics. *Cubo* **14** (2012), no. 3, 1–39.
- [Hu'86] W. Hunziker, Distortion analyticity and molecular resonance curves, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.* **45**(4) (1986), 339–358.
- [Hö'03] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I: Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*, Reprint of the second (1990) edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [Ka'66] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Second edition, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **132** Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.
- [Kh'07] A. Khochman, Resonances and spectral shift function for the semi-classical Dirac operator, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **19**(10) (2007), 1071–1115.
- [KM'12] J. Kungsman, M. Melgaard, Complex absorbing potential method for the perturbed Dirac operator, arXiv: 1310.1872
- [Ne'01] L. Nedelec, Resonances for matrix Schrödinger operators, *Duke Math. J.* **106**(2) (2001), 209–236.
- [Pa'91] B. Parisse, Résonances pour l'opérateur de Dirac, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **64**(1991), no. 5, 557–591.
- [Pa'92] B. Parisse, Résonances pour l'opérateur de Dirac II (French), *Helv. Phys. Acta* **65** (1992), no. 8, 1077–1118.
- [Ro'94] D. Robert, Relative time-delay for perturbations of elliptic operators and semiclassical asymptotics, *J. Funct. Anal.* **36** (1994), 36–82.
- [Se'88] P. Seba, The complex scaling method for Dirac resonances, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **16**(1) (1988), 51–59.
- [Si'73] B. Simon, The theory of resonances for dilation analytic potentials and the foundations of time dependent perturbation theory, *Ann. Math.* **97** (1973), 247–274.

- [Sj'82] J. Sjöstrand, Singularités analytiques microlocales (French), *Asterisque* **95**, 1–166, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [Sj'97a] J. Sjöstrand, A trace formula for resonances and application to semi-classical Schrödinger operators, Séminaire sur les équations aux dérivées partielles, 1996–1997, École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1997, exp. no. 2.
- [Sj'97b] J. Sjöstrand, A trace formula and review of some estimates for resonances, *Microlocal Analysis and Spectral Theory* (Lucca, 1996), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C; Math. Phys. Sci.. vol. 490, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997, pp. 377–437.
- [Sj'01a] J. Sjöstrand, Quantum resonances and trapped trajectories, *Long time behaviour of classical and quantum systems (Bologna, 1999)*, 33–61, Ser. Concr. Appl. Math., **1**, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [Sj'01b] J. Sjöstrand, Lectures on Resonances, unpublished, available at <http://sjostrand.perso.math.cnrs.fr/>
- [Th'92] B. Thaller, *The Dirac equation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [Tr'80] J. Treves *Introduction to Pseudodifferential and Fourier Integral Operators*, Plenum Press, New York, 1980.
- [We'73] R. Weder, Spectral properties of the Dirac Hamiltonian, *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles Sér. I* **87** (1973), 341–355.